

UNITED STATES SEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVE	NTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/241.127	02/01/99	SCHWINN		G	HH253-KFM
		IM62/1201	7 [EXAMINER	
MILDE HOFFBERG & MACKLIN				_ RUDDOCK,U	
10 BANK STR SUITE 460	(EE I		. [ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WHITE PLAIN	IS NY 10606			1771	0
				DATE MAILED:	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/241,127

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Georg Schwinn
Group Art Unit

Ula C. Ruddock

roup Art Uni **1771**



		1771						
X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 25, 2000</u>								
This action is FINAL .								
Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle3	for formal matters, prosecution 5 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213	on as to the me	erits is closed					
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to expire3month(s),	or thirty days, vesponse will cauder the provision	whichever is se the ns of					
Disposition of Claim								
X Claim(s) <u>1-20</u>		islare pondi	norin the author					
Of the above, claim(s) 9-19			ng in the applicat					
Claim(s)	IS	are withdrawn	from consideration					
X Claim(s) 1-8 and 20		is/are	allowed.					
		is/are	rejected.					
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	objected to.					
☐ Claims	are subject to r	estriction or ele	ction requirement.					
Application Papers								
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin								
The drawing(s) filed onis/are	objected to by the Examiner.							
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved d	isapproved.						
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		.,						
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies o		·n						
received.								
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial No	umber)							
 received in this national stage application from the 		- · 17.2(a))						
*Certified copies not received:		-(-//.						
 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priorit 	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)								
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s).							
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413								
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8							
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152								
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES								
			i					

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendment and accompanying remarks filed September 25, 2000. The claim objections and 112, 2nd rejection have been overcome.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The newly added limitation that the thermoplastic adhesive "adheres directly to said outer layers without any additional adhesive" is considered new matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claims 1-8 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strack et al. (US 5,681,645), as shown in Paper #6. Rejection is maintained.

Art Unit: 1771

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed September 25, 2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the reasons set forth. Applicant argues that Strack et al. make no suggestion that the nonwoven web can be adhered to the outer webs without an adhesive. This arguments is not persuasive because meltblown fibers are used in the nonwoven web and because these fibers are generally tacky when deposited onto a collecting surface. Therefore, Strack et al. do suggest that the nonwoven web can be adhered to the outer web without an adhesive.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/241,127

Art Unit: 1771

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ula C. Ruddock whose telephone number is (703) 305-0066. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 AM to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor Terrel Morris can be reached at (703) 308-2414.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Ula C. Ruddock \\Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1771
November 22, 2000

ELIZABETH M. COLE PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4